Exposing the astrophysical conditions of *r*-process events through observable signatures of lanthanide and actinide production





A CONTRACT OF CONTRACT.

Nicole Vassh University of Notre Dame N3AS Online Seminar, Live from home June 2, 2020



N. Vassh

#### *r*-process sites in compact object mergers

#### **Dynamical ejecta**



Foucart et al (2016)

#### Accretion disk winds -

exact driving mechanism and neutron richness varies



Owen and Blondin (2005)

#### Possible sources of heavy *r*-process elements

#### Collapsar disk winds

#### Collapsar SNe Ic BL Rate ~ 100 Gpc<sup>-3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> LGRB $t_v$ ~10-30 s $E_{iso}$ ~10<sup>52.5</sup> erg $M_N$ ~0.3 M<sub>0</sub> $M_r$ ~1 M<sub>0</sub> $Y_e = 0.5$ $\dot{M}_{hb}$ M<sub>acc</sub>~3 M<sub>0</sub> BH $\dot{M}_{rb}$ $\dot{M}_{acc}$

## Magneto-rotationally driven (MHD) supernovae

### Primordial black hole + neutron star





Credit: APS/Alan Stonebraker, via Physics

Siegel, Barnes, and Metzger (2018); also McLaughlin and Surman (2005), Miller *et al* (2019)

Winteler *et al* (2012); also Mosta *et al* (2017) Fuller, Kusenko, and Takhistov (2017)

### Example of astrophysical uncertainties in *r*-process sites: neutrino treatments

#### Accretion disk ejecta



Miller et al (2019)



Radice *et al* (2019); see also Perego *et al* (2019)

FRIB reach in key regions impacting the evolution of *r*-process abundances





Movie by N. Vassh Connecting nuclear physics, lanthanide production, and *r*-process conditions



# Uncertainties in GW170817 lanthanide production from nuclear physics



Côté, Fryer, Belczynski, Korobkin, Chruślińska, Vassh, Mumpower, Lippuner, Sprouse, Surman and Wollaeger (ApJ 855, 2, 2018)

# Predicted deformation in the rare-earths and peak formation





### MCMC procedure

- Monte Carlo mass corrections  $M(Z,N) = M_{DZ}(Z,N) + a_N e^{-(Z-C)^2/2f}$
- Check:  $\sigma_{\rm rms}^2(M_{\rm AME12}, M) \le \sigma_{\rm rms}^2(M_{\rm AME12}, M_{DZ})$
- Check:

 $D_n(Z,A) = \ (-1)^{A-Z+1} \big( S_n(Z,A+1) - S_n(Z,A) \big) > 0$ 

- Update nuclear quantities and rates
- Perform nucleosynthesis calculation
- Calculate  $\chi^2 = \sum_{A=150}^{180} \frac{(Y_{\odot,r}(A) Y(A))^2}{\Delta Y(A)^2}$
- Update parameters OR revert to last success

$$\mathcal{L}(m) = \exp\left(-\frac{\chi^2(m)}{2}\right) \longrightarrow \alpha(m) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(m)}{\mathcal{L}(m-1)}$$



Black – solar abundance dataRed – values at current stepGrey – AME 2012 dataBlue – best step of entire run

### Results

- Astrophysical trajectory: hot, low entropy outflow (as can be found in an NSM accretion disk) (s/k=30, τ=70 ms, Y<sub>e</sub>=0.2)
- 50 parallel, independent MCMC runs; Average run χ<sup>2</sup>~23







Orford, Vassh, *et al* (Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 262702, 2018)); Vassh *et al* (in prep., 2020)

### Results

- Astrophysical trajectory: hot, low entropy outflow (as can be found in an NSM accretion disk) (s/k=30, τ=70 ms, Y<sub>e</sub>=0.2)
- 50 parallel, independent MCMC runs; Average run χ<sup>2</sup>~23







Orford, Vassh, *et al* (Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 262702, 2018)); Vassh *et al* (in prep., 2020)

#### Peak formation in winds with *similar* astrophysical conditions



#### Peak formation example: hot dynamics



(colored by the dominant reaction/decay channel at the time)

Vassh et al (in preparation)

Later time

#### Peak formation in outflows with *distinct* astrophysical conditions



Vassh et al (in prep., 2020)



Vassh *et al* (in prep., 2020)



Vassh *et al* (in prep., 2020)

Comparing to the most neutron-rich measurements: Samarium



Vassh et al (in prep., 2020)

What are the heaviest nuclei reached in an astrophysical scenario? Possible signatures of actinide production

# Actinides in astrophysical environments?



PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 103, NUMBER 5

SEPTEMBER 1, 1956

#### Californium-254 and Supernovae\*

G. R. BURBIDGE AND F. HOYLE,<sup>†</sup> Mount Wilson and Palomar Observatories, Carnegie Institution of Washington, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California

AND

E. M. BURBIDGE, R. F. CHRISTY, AND W. A. FOWLER, Kellogg Radiation Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California (Received May 17, 1956)



W. W. Girdner/Caltech Archives

Zhu, Wollaeger, Vassh, Surman, Sprouse, Mumpower, Möller, McLaughlin, Korobkin, Kawano, Jaffke, Holmbeck, Fryer, Even, Couture, Barnes (ApJL 863, L23, 2018)

\*July 8 JINA online event: "A Celebration of Margaret Burbidge"

# Actinides in astrophysical environments?



The spontaneous fission of <sup>254</sup>Cf can be a primary contributor to the nuclear heating affecting NSM light curves at late epochs

This species could make the difference between detection and no detection of NSMs for the James Webb Space Telescope

Zhu, Wollaeger, Vassh, Surman, Sprouse, Mumpower, Möller, McLaughlin, Korobkin, Kawano, Jaffke, Holmbeck, Fryer, Even, Couture, Barnes (ApJL 863, L23, 2018)



#### When is <sup>254</sup>Cf strongly populated? Heavy element fission barriers in the *r* process



#### Will future rare-isotope beams reach key fissioning *r*-process nuclei?

Nuclei with high fission flow when average over 30 dynamical ejecta trajectories from a NSM simulation given four mass/fission barrier models



 $\bigstar$  denotes location of <sup>254</sup>Cf



### Excitation energy dependence: distinct fission yields for $\beta$ -delayed, neutron-induced and spontaneous fission



(n,f) yield dependent on E<sub>i</sub> but temperature range of r-process sees yields at 0.1 MeV (~1 GK) sufficient





(n,f) yields with excitation energy  $E_i + S_n$  differ from sf yields which have zero excitation energy (above from GEF 2016)

Vassh et al (J. Phys. G, 2019)

#### Dependence of lanthanide abundances on fission yields



#### Macroscopic-microscopic fission yields for neutron-rich nuclei in the *r*-process

FRLDM Yields from Mumpower *et al* (arXiv:1911.06344, 2019)



 $\sum$  Flow(Z<sub>p</sub>, N<sub>p</sub>) x Fission Yield(Z<sub>f</sub>, N<sub>f</sub>)

0.001

0.0013

0.0016

0.0007

0.0004

65

60

55

## Fission deposition to explain robustness of observed elemental abundances?



 $1.2-1.4 M_{\odot}$  NSM dynamical ejecta using Rosswog *et al* 2013 simulation conditions (very neutron-rich with robust fission)

Vassh et al (accepted ApJ 2020, arXiv:1911.07766)

Cowan, Roederer, Sneden and Lawler (2011)

## Fission deposition to explain robustness of observed elemental abundances?



 $1.2-1.4 \text{ M}_{\odot}$  NSM dynamical ejecta using simulation of Radice *et al* 2018 with M0 neutrino transport (broad range of conditions)

Vassh et al (accepted ApJ 2020, arXiv:1911.07766)



Nuclear physics uncertainties impact important open questions in *r*-process heavy element production



- Can mergers account for all the *r*-process material observed in the galaxy?
- Do mergers produce precious metals such as gold in sufficient amounts? Are actinides produced?
- At what site(s) and under what conditions does heavy element nucleosynthesis occur?
- $\circ$  What determines the relative ratios of lanthanides such as the rare-earth elements?



Nuclear physics uncertainties impact important open questions in *r*-process heavy element production



- Can mergers account for all the *r*-process material observed in the galaxy?
- Do mergers produce precious metals such as gold in sufficient amounts? Are actinides produced?
- At what site(s) and under what conditions does heavy element nucleosynthesis occur?
- $\circ$  What determines the relative ratios of lanthanides such as the rare-earth elements?

